

From: [Chen, Lily \(Fed\)](#)
To: [Dodson, Donna F. \(Fed\)](#)
Subject: FW: PQC survey results
Date: Wednesday, August 28, 2019 9:27:00 AM
Attachments: [NIST PQC next steps 2019.pptx](#)
[NIST PQC next steps 2019.pdf](#)

-----Original Message-----

From: Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed) <yi-kai.liu@nist.gov>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2019 3:10 PM
To: internal-pqc <internal-pqc@nist.gov>
Cc: (b) (6); Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>
Subject: Re: PQC survey results

Hi again,

Here are updated slides for this afternoon. (Some more survey responses came in, and I tried to declutter the slides to make them easier to read.)

--Yi-Kai

From: Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed) <yi-kai.liu@nist.gov>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2019 1:03 AM
To: internal-pqc
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: PQC survey results

Hey everyone,

I spent some time reading through the survey results... they are not bad. I am relieved that no one mentioned aliens, Area 51, or DNA computing.

Most of the responses seem sensible, and some of them are pretty interesting. I tried to organize them, and I made some slides.

A few of the responses are not what I was expecting. A few people think we should delay our timeline, to give them more time to do research. I wonder if that is self-interest on their part, because they like doing this stuff?

Anyway, here is the spreadsheet of all the survey responses (as of 8pm tonight), and my slides. Let me know if you have any comments? Please cc my gmail address, since that's easier for me to read...

Thanks!

--Yi-Kai